Initials	Group	T1	T2	T3	L	Final Grade	
ALR	1	100	80	100	90	9.2	A
BMC	5	50	75	80	88	7.8	B
CEAB	3	80	100	100	90	9.2	A
CIGS	5	20	100	100	88	8.1	B
DMMS	2	40	75	80	87	7.6	B
DFM	2	60	100	75	87	8.3	B
DSC	1	60	100	90	90	8.7	A
FEV	3	100	100	90	90	9.4	A
FCU	1	50	100	90	90	8.5	A
GAF	4	40	100	100	88	8.4	B
JKMC	3	100	100	100	90	9.5	A
JMV	4	20	100	100	88	8.1	B
JPFL	4	50	100	90	88	8.4	B
JPLC	5	30	90	90	88	7.9	B
KGA	2	70	85	90	87	8.5	A
LCG	1	40	100	90	90	8.4	B
LFQM	5	40	100	80	88	8.1	B
MYSF	2	60	85	50	87	7.6	B
RCV	1	50	100	90	90	8.5	A
VHRO	4	80	75	90	88	8.5	A

Group	Grade	Paper	Comments
1	90		No clear quantitative goal or evaluation metric, poor stability checking, no proper monitoring process.
2	87	Yes	Need to improve NLP application, poor CI/CD strategy, no clear documentation on reports, user interface issues, no proper monitoring and stability checking.
3	90		No clear quantitative goal or evaluation metric, poor stability checking, no proper monitoring process.
4	88	Yes	Poor CI/CD and report generation, unclear quantitative goals and poor evalution strategy, issues on the user interface and no monitoring strategy.
5	88	Yes	No clear documentation on reports, confusing evaluation criteria, goals and variance estimation, issues on the user interface, no monitoring process.